Course series: Deep Learning for Machine Translation

Machine Learning

Lecture # 3

Hassan Sajjad and Fahim Dalvi Qatar Computing Research Institute, HBKU

A technique that gives **machines** the **ability** to **learn**, without any explicit programming

In simpler terms, a machine should be able to see some data, and learn to make decisions based on what it has seen

An example: You are a car dealer, and you have a historical record of **which cars are accident prone**. How can you "teach" a computer to predict which *new* cars will be accident prone?

	Maximum Speed	Acceleration	Color	Car age	Accident Prone?
Car 1	240 km/h	Fast	Red	2 yrs	Yes
Car 2	100 km/h	Fast	Yellow	2 yrs	No
Car 3	240 km/h	Fast	Blue	1 yr	No
Car 4	200 km/h	Slow	Blue	5 yrs	Yes
Car 5	100 km/h	Fast	Yellow	5 yrs	Yes
Car 6	100 km/h	Slow	Black	6 yrs	No
Car 7	150 km/h	Fast	Red	2 yrs	?

How did you make your decision? Search for closest vehicle in the past? Come up with a set of rules? How did you decide what knowledge is important?

Historically, rule based systems were common:

```
if (car.acceleration = fast and car.age > 1 and ...)
    print ("accident prone")
else if (car.acceleration = slow and car.maxspeed > 150 and ...)
    print ("accident prone")
else if (car.acceleration = slow and car.maxspeed < 50)
    print ("not accident prone")
else if
...</pre>
```

• • •

Domain Specific

Cumbersome

Not easy to learn from new data

Then, machine learning techniques came about...



Domain Agnostic Robust Easy to learn from new data

Training Data

	Maximum Speed	Acceleration	Color	Car age	Accident Prone?
Car 1	240 km/h	Fast	Red	2 yrs	Yes
Car 2	100 km/h	Fast	Yellow	2 yrs	No
Car 3	240 km/h	Fast	Blue	1 yr	No
Car 4	200 km/h	Slow	Red	5 yrs	Yes
Car 5	100 km/h	Fast	Yellow	5 yrs	Yes
Car 6	100 km/h	Slow	Black	6 yrs	No
Input Fosturos					Labols

пристеациез

Laneis

We use training examples with labels to train a model

Then, machine learning techniques came about...



Domain Agnostic Robust Easy to learn from new data

In this case, we have labels for each car. This class of problems is handled by *supervised learning algorithms*.

Unsupervised learning algorithms work on unlabelled data



In this case, we have labels for each car. This class of problems is handled by *supervised learning algorithms*.

Unsupervised learning algorithms work on unlabelled data



In this case, we have labels for each car. This class of problems is handled by *supervised learning algorithms*.

Unsupervised learning algorithms work on unlabelled data



Many techniques exist to build models:

- "Finding similar cars" type methods:
 - K-means clustering
 - Hierarchical clustering
- "Create set of rules" type methods:
 - Support vector machines
 - Logistic Regression
 - Neural Networks

Many techniques exist to build models:

٠	"Finding similar cars" type methods:	
	 K-means clustering 	
	 Hierarchical clustering 	Unsupervised
٠	"Create set of rules" type methods:	
	 Support vector machines 	
	 Logistic Regression 	
	 Neural Networks 	Supervised

Then, machine learning techniques came about...



Domain Agnostic Robust Easy to learn from new data

Example of a model: A function that takes information about a car, and predicts whether it's accident prone or not

Example of a model: A function that takes a word, and predicts it's part of speech tag

f("car") = Noun
f("beautiful") = Adjective
f("she") = Pronoun

At a high level, the basic idea is to figure out which features are important, and how important are they for prediction

0.3 x car.maxspeed
+ 0.2 x car.acceleration
+ 0.0 x car.color
+ 0.5 x car.age

At a high level, the basic idea is to figure out which features are important, and how important are they for prediction

	0.3	Χ	car.maxspeed
+	0.2	Х	car.acceleration
+	0.0	Х	car.color
+	0.5	Х	car.age

An older car is more likely to be accident prone

At a high level, the basic idea is to figure out which features are important, and how important are they for prediction

	0.3	Х	car.maxspeed
+	0.2	Х	car.acceleration
+	0.0	Х	car.color
+	0.5	Х	car.age

The color of a car has no impact on accidents

Supervised Learning: Classification

Process of assigning objects to categories

For example, Car 1 belongs to category "Accident Prone"

	Maximum Speed	Acceleration	Color	Car age	Accident Prone?
Car 1	240 km/h	Fast	Red	2 yrs	Yes
Car 2	100 km/h	Fast	Yellow	2 yrs	No
Car 3	240 km/h	Fast	Blue	1 yr	No
Car 4	200 km/h	Slow	Blue	5 yrs	Yes
Car 5	100 km/h	Fast	Yellow	5 yrs	Yes
Car 6	100 km/h	Slow	Black	6 yrs	No

Supervised Learning: Classification

Process of assigning objects to categories

Car Example

Accident Prone

Not Accident Prone

Binary Classification





Multiclass Classification

Supervised Learning: Classification

Process of assigning objects to categories

Car Example

Accident Prone

Not Accident Prone

Binary Classification

Two classes: yes or no





Multiclass Classification

More than two classes to choose from

Classification: Vector Spaces



Imagine every feature as a dimension in space Every object (car) can be represented as a point in space

Classification: Vector Spaces





• We will start by looking at a simple technique - *Linear regression* for binary classification

- We will start by looking at a simple technique *Linear regression* for binary classification
- In Linear regression, our model/function predicts just one real number
- If this number is < 0, we will consider it to belong to Class 1. If it is ≥ 0, we will consider it to belong to Class 2.

 Choose w₀, w₁ and b such that positive examples give a result > 0 and negative examples give a result < 0

$$w_0 \times x_0 + w_1 \times x_1 + b$$

x_0	x_1	Class
2	0	Positive
5	-2	Positive
-2	2	Negative
-1	-3	Negative

• Choose w_0 , w_1 and b such that positive examples give a result > 0 and negative



 Choose w₀, w₁ and b such that positive examples give a result > 0 and negative examples give a result < 0

$$w_0 \times x_0 + w_1 \times x_1 + b$$

x_0	x_1	Class
2	0	Positive
5	-2	Positive
-2	2	Negative
-1	-3	Negative

Find weights that separate positive examples from negative examples $w_0 \times 2 + w_1 \times 0 + b > 0$



• Potential Solution: $w_0 = 3$, $w_1 = 1$ and b = 3



• Potential Solution: $w_0 = 3$, $w_1 = 1$ and b = 3

 $w_0 \times x_0 + w_1 \times x_1 + b = 0$ should define a decision boundary 0 $3 \times x_0 + 1 \times x_1 + 3 = 0$ defines one such decision boundary 0 Positive examples will be on one side of the boundary, and negative examples on the other

 $w_0 \times 2 + w_1 \times 0 + b > 0$

• Potential Solution: $w_0 = 3$, $w_1 = 1$ and b = 3




Objective Function

$$f(x, W, b) = W \cdot x + b$$

Objective function defines our goal



Objective Function

 $f(x, W, b) = W \cdot x + b$

Objective function defines our goal

Can also be just one number as in the case of *Linear regression*, but in general for classification, we have **n** numbers if we want to classify between **n** classes



Objective Function

$$f(x, W, b) = W \cdot x + b$$

Objective function defines our goal





Given a set of parameters $P = \{P_1, P_2, ...\}$, how do you know which one to use?



Given a set of parameters P={P₁, P₂, ...}, how do you know which one to use?

We use the concept of *loss* A loss function takes in the output of our model, compares it to the true value and then gives us a measure of how "far" our model is.

P₁={w₁:3, w₂:1, b:3}

 $P_2 = \{w_1: -2, w_2: -1, b: -1\}$

/₂:-1, b:3}

Loss Function Exercise

Consider two cars and three sets of parameters



Which set of parameters is the best?



$$f(\bigcirc, P_1) = [0.1, 0.9]$$
$$f(\bigcirc, P_2) = [0.3, 0.7]$$
$$f(\bigcirc, P_3) = [0.9, 0.1]$$

Loss Function Exercise

Consider two cars and three sets of parameters



Which set of parameters is the best?

$$f($$
 , $P_1) = [0.5, 0.5]$ $f($, $P_1) = [0.1, 0.9]$ $f($, $P_2) = [0.7, 0.3]$ $f($, $P_2) = [0.3, 0.7]$ $f($, $P_3) = [0.1, 0.9]$ $f($, $P_3) = [0.9, 0.1]$

A loss function is *any function that gives a measure* of how far your scores are from their true values

A loss function is *any function that gives a measure* of how far your scores are from their true values



A potential loss function in this case is the *sum of the absolute difference* of scores:



A potential loss function in this case is the *sum of the absolute difference* of scores:

L(
$$(P_1) = sum(f((P_1), P_1) - [1.0, 0.0])$$

= $sum([|-0.5|, |0.5|]) = 1$
L($(P_1) = sum(f((P_1), P_1) - [0.0, 1.0])$
= $sum([|0.1|, |-0.1|]) = 0.2$



A potential loss function in this case is the *sum of the absolute difference* of scores:

$$L(\bigcirc, P_{1}) = sum(f(\bigcirc, P_{1}) - [1.0, 0.0])$$

$$= sum([|-0.5| , |0.5|]) = 1$$

$$L(\bigcirc, P_{1}) = sum(f(\bigcirc, P_{1}) - [0.0, 1.0])$$

$$= sum([|0.1|, |-0.1|]) = 0.2$$

$$L(\bigcirc, P_{2}) = 0.6$$

$$L(\bigcirc, P_{3}) = 1.8$$

$$L(\bigcirc, P_{3}) = 1.8$$

Average loss for both cars $L(P_1) = 0.6 \quad L(P_2) = 0.6 \quad L(P_3) = 1.8$

Average loss for both cars

$$L(P_1) = 0.6$$
 $L(P_2) = 0.6$ $L(P_3) = 1.8$

A lower value of the loss indicates a better model

i.e. we are closer to the true values

In this case, P_1 and P_2 have the *lower value* of 0.6, so we know they are better than P_3 . However, we also know that P_2 is better than P_1 , and this implies our loss function is not very good right now!

Better loss function:

Mean Squared Error

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2$$

Better loss function:

Mean Squared Error



Better loss function:

Mean Squared Error



Better loss function:

Mean Squared Error



Mean Squared Error works better, as it penalizes values that are further away more.

Many other choices for loss functions:

- Absolute Distance loss
- Hinge loss
- Logistic loss
- Cross Entropy loss

:

Loss function is also known as the *cost function* in some literature



Optimization

Now that we have a way of defining loss, we need a way to use it to improve our parameters

This process is called optimization - where our goal is to "minimize" the loss function, i.e. bring it as close to zero as possible

Optimization Exercise

Find the value of x in the following equation:

x + 5 = ?

For every guess you will get the following hints:



Optimization Example



Optimization Example

Just like you did the exercise of updating x based on our feedback, machines can also look at the loss ("Higher", "Very far") and decide to update x appropriately



Optimization Example

Optimization algorithms use the *loss value* to mathematically nudge the parameters P of the objective function to be more "correct"



Optimization

What are some strategies you used to optimize x?

Optimization: Random Search

- Potential Solution: Guess randomly each time
- Pros:
 - Very simple
- Cons:
 - Not very efficient
 - *loss* value is unused
 - Potentially may never find a good solution

• Better Solution: Gradient based search

- Better Solution: Gradient based search
- Every function can be represented in space:



- Better Solution: Gradient based search
- Every function can be represented in space:





- Better Solution: Gradient based search
- Our goal is to minimize the loss, i.e. find a set of parameters P such that the loss is close to zero

- Better Solution: Gradient based search
- Our goal is to minimize the loss, i.e. find a set of parameters P such that the loss is close to zero



- Better Solution: Gradient based search
- Our goal is to minimize the loss, i.e. find a set of parameters P such that the loss is close to zero



8 Minimum value of the function

Functions are just like terrain - they have mountains and valleys

We want to minimize loss, i.e. go to the bottom of the terrain
Q: Imagine you are blindfolded on a mountain, how will you go to the bottom?

Q: Imagine you are blindfolded on a mountain, how will you go to the bottom?

A: Sense the slope around you, and move in the direction where the slope points downwards

Concept of gradient == "your sense of slope" for the loss function

The gradient of a function is mathematically defined as the slope of the tangent i.e. slope at any given point on the function



Once we know the direction, we can move towards the minimum.

Are we done?

Optimization: Learning Rate

How far should we move?

The *step size* or *learning rate* defines how big a step we should take in the direction of the gradient

Optimization: Learning Rate

How far should we move?

The *step size* or *learning rate* defines how big a step we should take in the direction of the gradient

It must be well controlled - too small a step and it may take a long time to reach the bottom - too big a step and we may miss the minimum all together!

Optimization

Various optimization algorithms



Alec Radford (Reddit)

Local Minima



⊗ Local minimum value of the function

Local Minima



Optimization algorithm may get "stuck" at local minimum of a function



8 Minimum value of the function

⊗ Local minimum value of the function

Optimization: Demo

Let's look at an actual optimization in real time!



- What was the "b"?
 - A parameter that allows you to "shift" your decision boundary
 - In the case of a linear boundary (f = Wx + b), the W can only control the slope of the boundary - but that may not be enough

Consider the following dataset:



Consider the following dataset:



Without a bias term, the decision boundary *must* pass through the origin

Consider the following dataset:



Consider the following dataset:



No decision boundary passing through the origin can lead to a good model here

Consider the following dataset:



Introduction of a bias terms helps us shift the boundary and fit the data

- We've learned that we need to move in the direction of the gradient to reach the minimum value for a given loss function
- But where do we start?

- Initial values of W and b dictate where in the terrain we begin
- If we start near a minima, we can optimize very quickly - If we start too far, it may take a long time to find a good model
- We may even start near a local minimum and never find the global minimum for a given function

- Zero initialization?
- Random initialization?
- Something more complicated?

- Zero initialization
- Random initialization
- Something more complicated:
 - Gaussian distributed
 - Xavier Initialization

More on this later!

As we've seen, there are many potential solutions to a problem:



As we've seen, there are many potential solutions to a problem:

$$P_{1}: w_{0} = 3; w_{1} = 1; b = 3$$
$$P_{2}: w_{0} = 300; w_{1} = 100; b = 300$$
$$P_{3}: w_{0} = 300; w_{1} = 99; b = 300$$

Which set of parameters is better here?

Some loss functions are sensitive to the magnitude of weights:

Average losses (MSE) $L(P_1) = 73.25$ $L(P_2) = 866051.0$ $L(P_3) = 867304.75$

Some loss functions are sensitive to the magnitude of weights:



Some loss functions are sensitive to the magnitude of weights:



Solution: Since all these solutions are equally good, constrain our model to weights with small magnitude

Solution: Since all these solutions are equally good, constrain our model to weights with small magnitude

$$L = \texttt{Normal loss} + \lambda \sum_w w_i^2$$

Penalizes weights that are too large λ defines how much importance you want to give to regularization

Summary

- Classification supervised vs. unsupervised
- Linear regression
- Objective function
- Loss function
 - sum of absolute differences
 - mean squared error
- Optimization
 - random search
 - gradient search